

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,662	09/05/2003	Vikkie A. Mustad	7119US01	8313	
25755 7	7590 06/29/2005	06/29/2005		EXAMINER	
	UCTS DIVISION OF A	CARR, DEBORAH D			
	IT 108140-DS/1 AND AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
COLUMBUS,	OH 43215-1724		1621		
			D. 600 14 11 55 04 50 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	D. 657 14 17 5D. 04 90 9004	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/656,662	MUSTAD ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Deborah D. Сал	1621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 April 2005.					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-45 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 September 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the latest or declaration is objected to by the latest and the specific process.	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec the ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachmen	t(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/03.3/05</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 8) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-8 in Paper No. 15 April 2005 are acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the lipid system of Group I is contained in the nutritional systems therefore it is difficult to conceive that they can be used in materially different processes and should be regrouped to the following: Group I (claims 1-9 & 14-20); Group II (claims 10-13 & 21-45)

This is not found persuasive because applicants' state o page 1, line 3 of the specification that the lipid system "disclosed is suitable for independent use or as a component of a nutritional product." This can also be seen in claims 10-12 & 25-45 wherein the lipid system is administered by itself with out being in a nutritional product. It should also be noted that all of the products listed in claim 9 are not all nutritional but an emulsion or capsule.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 9-45 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claims. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper Dated. 15 April 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 1-7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by US Pub. 2004/0062847.

US Pub.'847 teaches an oil/fat composition containing alpha-linolenic acid, omega-6 fatty acids and omega-9 fatty acids that read on all of the ratios and applicable acids as defined in the dependent claims. See Table 1, col. 3 wherein the amount of fatty acids are as follows: C18:3 n-3 (40.5); C18:1n-9 (34.5); C18:2 n-6 (14:0), saturated fatty acids (C16:0 & C18:0 - total 10.7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1621

- 7. Claim 8 recites the limitation "about 30 to about 90% flaxseed oil, from about 0 to about 59% high oleic sunflower oil, and from about 0 to about 7% corn oil" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah D. Carr whose telephone number is 571-272-0637. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DEBORAH D. CARR PRIMARY EXAMINER